My



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/768,316	01/25/2001		David Ross	0618-50	4161
22204	7590	02/04/2005		EXAM	INER
NIXON PE. 401 9TH STI			KOSTAK, VICTOR R		
SUITE 900	CLL1,111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20004-2128	2614		

DATE MAILED: 02/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/768,316	ROSS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Victor R. Kostak	2614
The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed or This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u 	This action is non-final. Allowance except for formal matte	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the second se	accepted or b) objected to be to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Apele priority documents have been to Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/768,316

Art Unit: 2614

1. Applicant's response is not fully responsive because the amendment is not in compliance with rule 111(b). This rule states the following: "The reply [by an applicant] must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references."

Applicant has added four new claims but did not discuss them at all.

Instead of responding to applicant with a non-responsive communication, the examiner has advanced the instant application to final, in an effort to expedite prosecution.

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

New claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

None of the features recited in these four claims is recited anywhere in applicant's specification.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/768,316

Art Unit: 2614

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kobayashi et al.

Addressing independent claim 2 first, the system of Kobayashi (noting particularly Figs. 3 and 8) includes a control circuit 156 that serves as a switcher according to a selection determined by an operator at unit 154. Input terminals 114 and 116 accept respective input signals from any of various sources (col. 3 lines 27-34), and switching by controller 156 enables selection of a native (non-converted) signal and a converted signal by selective mixing (synthesis) element 146, for display of a composite effect image (noting various display modes shown in Fig. 8).

As for claim 1, the plural inputs can be of different aspect ratios (noting again col. 3 lines 27-34) that are mixed by element 146, for forming a resultant signal as a composite image comprised of selected signals having different respective aspect ratios (noting again Fig. 8).

As for claim 3, a letterbox mode is an option (e.g. mode 4).

Regarding claim 4, control of up and down conversion can also be integrated into the synthesis process (noting even-numbered compression and expansion stages 130–44).

As for claim 5, non-converted signals can be selected by the controller (noting the non-conversion inputs to mixer 146), so controlled downstream by controller 156.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/768,316

Art Unit: 2614

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the system preset in a selected mode to thereby enable display in some initial mode rather than always requiring a mode reset every time the system is activated, as is typical of any electronic system that includes optional settings. It would further have been obvious to bypass any stage so preferred by the operator, for the clear benefit of providing the operator with the ultimate decision making prerogative.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/768,316

Art Unit: 2614

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor R. Kostak whose telephone number is 703 305-4374. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on 703 305-4795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Application/Control Number: 09/768,316

Art Unit: 2614

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 308-HELP.

Victor R. Kostak Primary Examiner Art Unit 2614

VRK

hivs